arXiv:1604.02305v1 [math.NT] 8 Apr 2016

TOWARDS CHARACTERISING POLYNOMIALITY OF $\frac{1-q^b}{1-q^a}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ AND APPLICATIONS

MOHAMED EL BACHRAOUI

ABSTRACT. In this note we shall give conditions which guarantee that $\frac{1-q^b}{1-q^a}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ holds. We shall provide a full characterisation for $\frac{1-q^b}{1-q^a}\begin{bmatrix} ka \\ m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. This unifies a variety of results already known in literature. We shall prove new divisibility properties for the binomial coefficients and a new divisibility result for a certain finite sum involving the roots of the unity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers, let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the set of nonnegative integers, and let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the set of integers. Accordingly, let $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ denote the set of polynomials in q with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathbb{N}_0[q]$ be the set of polynomials in q with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$. Recall that for a complex number q and a complex variable x, the q-shifted factorials are given by

$$(x;q)_0 = 1, \quad (x;q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-xq^i), \quad (x;q)_\infty = \lim_{n\to\infty}(x;q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}(1-xq^i)$$

and the q-binomial coefficients are given for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q;q)_n}{(q;q)_m(q;q)_{n-m}}, & \text{if } n \geq m \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Andrews [2] introduced the function

$$A(n,j) = \frac{1-q}{1-q^n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix},$$

which, for our purposes, we extend as follows.

Definition 1. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$A(b,a;n,m) = \frac{1-q^b}{1-q^a}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathbb{N},\ b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We say that $A(b,a;n,m)$ is *reduced* (or *in reduced form*) if $a \leq n < 2a$ and $0 \leq m < a$. Writing $m = ua + r$ and $n = va + s$ with $0 \leq r < a$ and $a \leq s < 2a$, it is clear that the reduced form of $A(b,a;n,m)$ is $A(b,a;s,r)$.

Remark 1. By Guo and Krattenthaler [6, Lemma 5.1], if $b \leq a$ and $A(b,a;n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, then $A(b,a;n,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$.

Date: *April 11, 2016*.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C20.
Key words and phrases. binomial coefficients, divisibility, q-binomial coefficients.

Slightly modifying [3, Theorem 5], we shall show that $A(b,a;n,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$ if and only if $A(b,a;s,r) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$. More specifically, we have:

Theorem 1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b,m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $m \leq n$. Then*

$$A(b,a;n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \text{ if and only if } A(b,a;n+la,m+ka) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$$

for all integers k,l such that $0 \leq m+ka \leq n+la$.

By Theorem 1 and Remark 1 we have:

Corollary 1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b,m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $b \leq a$ and $m \leq n$. Then*

$$A(b,a;n,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q] \text{ if and only if } A(b,a;n+la,m+ka) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$$

for all integers k,l such that $0 \leq m+ka \leq n+la$.

Andrews [2, Theorem 2] gave the following characterisation:

$$A(1,n;n,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q] \text{ if and only if } \gcd(n,m)=1. \tag{1}$$

Sun [8, Theorem 1.1] proved that

$$\binom{an+bn}{an} \equiv 0 \mod \frac{bn+1}{\gcd(a,bn+1)}.$$

To extend this congruence, Guo and Krattenthaler [6, Lemma 5.2] proved the following q-analogue.

$$A(\gcd(a,b),a+b;a+b,a) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, by Guo and Krattenthaler [6, Theorem 3.2] we have:

$$A(\gcd(k,n),n;2n,n-k) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q] \text{ and } A(k,n;2n,n-k) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]. \tag{3}$$

Notice that the functions in (1), (2), and (3) are of type $A(b,a;n,m)$ with $a \mid n$. So, it is natural to ask for conditions guaranteeing the statement $A(b,a;na,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$ to hold. To this end, we have the following characterisation.

Theorem 2. *Let a, b, m, and n be nonnegative integers such that $a > 0$ and $na \geq m$. Then $A(b,a;na,m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ if and only if $\gcd(a,m) \mid b$.*

Combining Remark 1 with Theorem 2 we have the following consequence.

Corollary 2. *Let a, b, m, and n be nonnegative integers such that $a > 0$, $b \leq a$ and $na \geq m$. Then $A(b,a;na,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$ if and only if $\gcd(a,m) \mid b$.*

Further, Guo and Krattenthaler [6, Theorem 3.1] showed that all of the functions

$$\begin{gathered} A(1,6n-1;12n,3n),\ A(1,6n-1;12n,4n),\ A(1,30n-1;60n,6n) \\ A(1,30n-1;120n,40n),\ A(1,30n-1;120n,45n),\ A(1,66n-1;3300n,88n) \end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

are in $\mathbb{N}_0[q]$.

Remark 2. To investigate the polynomiality of $A(1,a;n,m)$ we may assume by virtue of Theorem 1 that $A(1,a;n,n-m)$ is reducible, i.e. $n=a+r$ and $n-m=a-s$ with $0 \leq r < a$ and $0 \leq s < a$. In this case we have $m=r+s$ and so, we may assume that $n=a+r$ and $n+a \geq m \geq r$.

Observe that the reduced forms of all of the functions listed in (4) have the form $A(1,a;a+r,m)$ with $r \leq m$. We have the following unifying argument.

Theorem 3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a > r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $n = a + r$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n \geq m \geq r$. If $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and $\gcd(a, m - j) \mid n$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, r$, then $A(1, a; n, m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$.*

For instance, applying Theorem 3 to $a = 6n - 1$, $r = 2$, and $m = 3n$ gives that $A(1, 6n - 1; 12n, 3n) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$ and applying Theorem 3 to $a = 30n - 1$, $r = 4$, and $m = 45n$ gives that $A(1, 30n - 1; 120n, 45n) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$. One can check the polynomiality of the other functions listed in (4) in a similar way.
An important application of the function $A(b, a; n, m)$ is the fact that whenever it is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $a \mid \binom{n}{m}$. Our next result deals with divisibility properties for the binomial coefficients.

Theorem 4. *If a and n are nonnegative integers such that $a \geq 3$, then*

$$\text{(a)} \quad \big((a-1)n+1\big) \Big| \gcd\left(\binom{(a-1)^2 n - 1}{(a-1)n}, \binom{a(a-1)n}{2(a-1)n+1}\right),$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad \big((a-1)n-1\big) \Big| \gcd\left(\binom{(a-1)^2 n - 1}{(a-1)n-2}, \binom{a(a-1)n-2}{2(a-1)n-3}\right).$$

Finally, by a result of Gould [5] we have for any nonnegative integers N and $M < n$

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{N + mn}{M + jn} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w^{-jM} (1 + w^j)^{N+mn}, \tag{5}$$

where $w = e^{2\pi i/n}$ is a primitive nth root of unity. In particular, this implies that

$$n \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{n} w^{-jM} (1 + w^j)^{N+mn}.$$

We have the following generalisation.

Theorem 5. *If $A(1, n; N, M) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, then for any nonnegative integer m we have*

$$n^2 \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{n} w^{-jM} (1 + w^j)^{N+mn},$$

where $w = e^{2\pi i/n}$ is a primitive nth root of unity.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

The implication from the right to the left is clear. Assume now that

$$A(b, a; n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

By the well-known identity

$$q^M - 1 = \prod_{d \mid M} \Phi_d(q),$$

where $\Phi_d(q)$ is the d-th cyclotomic polynomial in q, we obtain

$$A(b, a; n, m) = \prod_{d=2}^{n} \Phi_d(q)^{e_d},$$

where

$$e_d = \chi(d \mid b) - \chi(d \mid a) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{d} \right\rfloor,$$

with $\chi(S) = 1$ if S is true and $\chi(S) = 0$ if S is false. As $A(b, a; n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $\Phi_d(q)$ is irreducible for any d we must have $e_d \geq 0$ for all $d = 2, \ldots, n$. As to $A(b, a; n + la, m + ka)$, we have

$$A(b, a; n + la, m + ka) = \prod_{d=2}^{n+la} \Phi_d(q)^{e_d},$$

where

$$e_d = \chi(d \mid b) - \chi(d \mid a) + \left\lfloor \frac{n + la}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m + ka}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - m + (l - k)a}{d} \right\rfloor,$$

Then clearly $e_d \geq 0$ unless $d \mid a$. But if $d \mid a$, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n + la}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m + ka}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - m + (l - k)a}{d} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - m}{d} \right\rfloor$$

and therefore $e_d \geq 0$ by assumption, implying that $A(b, a; n + la, m + ka)$ is a polynomial in q.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that $\gcd(a, m) = g \nmid b$ and that $A(b, a; na, m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then clearly $A(b, g; na, m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and so, by Theorem 1 we have

$$A(b, g; na, 0) = \frac{1 - q^b}{1 - q^g} \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

which is impossible as $g \nmid b$. Assume now that $\gcd(a, m) \mid b$. Then just as before, we have

$$A(b, a; na, m) = \prod_{d=2}^{na} \Phi_d(q)^{e_d},$$

where

$$e_d = \chi(d \mid b) - \chi(d \mid a) + \left\lfloor \frac{na}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{na - m}{d} \right\rfloor.$$

Then $e_d \geq 0$ unless $d \mid a$. But if $d \mid a$, then

$$e_d = \chi(d \mid b) - 1 - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-m}{d} \right\rfloor \right). \tag{6}$$

Case 1: $d \mid m$. Then $d \mid \gcd(a, m)$ and so also $d \mid b$. From these facts and the identity (6) we conclude that $e_d = 0$.
Case 2: $d \nmid m$. Then $\lfloor m/d \rfloor + \lfloor -m/d \rfloor = -1$ and so, $e_d = \chi(d \mid b) - 1 + 1 \geq 0$. This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Proceeding as before, we have

$$A(1, a; n, m) = \prod_{d=2}^{n} \Phi_d(q)^{e_d},$$

with

$$e_d = -\chi(d \mid a) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - m}{d} \right\rfloor.$$

Then $e_d \geq 0$ unless $d \mid a$. Let $2 \leq d \mid a$. Suppose that there is some $j = 1, \ldots, r$ such that $d \mid \gcd(a, m-j)$. Then $d \mid n$ but $d \nmid m$ and we get

$$e_d = -1 - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-m}{d} \right\rfloor \right) = -1 - (-1) \geq 0.$$

Suppose now that $d \nmid m-1, \ldots, d \nmid m-r$. Then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-m}{d} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-m}{d} \right\rfloor = -1$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} e_d &= -1 + \left\lfloor \frac{a+r}{d} \right\rfloor - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{a+r-m}{d} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= -1 + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{d} \right\rfloor - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-m}{d} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= -1 + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{d} \right\rfloor - (-1) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that $A(1, a; n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. The fact that $A(1, a; a+r, m) \in \mathbb{N}_0[q]$ is a consequence of Remark 1.

5. Proof of Theorem 4

(a) Let $a \geq 3$ and n be nonnegative integers. From the evident fact

$$A(an+1, n+1; an, n) = \begin{bmatrix} an+1 \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$$

and Theorem 1 we get

$$A(an+1, n+1; an-n-1, n) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \text{ and } A(an+1, n+1; an, n+n+1) \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

from which we find

$$(n+1) \Big| (an+1) \gcd \left(\binom{(a-1)n-1}{n}, \binom{an}{2n+1} \right).$$

Letting $n := (a-1)m$ we have that $\gcd(n+1, an+1) = 1$ and so the previous divisibility implies

$$\big((a-1)m+1\big) \Big| \gcd \left(\binom{(a-1)^2 m - 1}{(a-1)m-1}, \binom{a(a-1)m}{2(a-1)m+1} \right),$$

as desired.

(b) Follows similarly by applying Theorem 1 to the fact

$$A(an-1, n-1; an-2, n-2) = \begin{bmatrix} an-1 \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

6. Proof of Theorem 5

Suppose that $A(1,n;N,M)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then by virtue of Theorem 1 we have

$$A(1,n;N+mn,M+jn)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$$

for all nonnegative integers j such that $M+jn\leq N+mn$. It follows with the help of Gould's identity (5)

$$n\Big|\sum_{j\geq 0}\binom{N+mn}{N+jn}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}w^{-jM}(1+w^j)^{N+mn},$$

from which the desired divisibility follows.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions, Vol. 2*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

[2] G. E. Andrews, *The Friedman-Joichi-Stanton monotonicity conjecture at primes*, DIMACS Series in Discrete Math. Theort. Comput. Sci. 64 (2004), 9-15.

[3] M. El Bachraoui and A. Al Suwaidi, *New divisibility results related to binomial coefficients*, Submitted.

[4] V. J. W. Guo, *Proof of Sun's conjecture on the divisibility of certain binomial sums*, Electron. J. Combin. 20(4) (2013), #P20.

[5] H. W. Gould, *Combinatorial identities*, Morgantown Printing and Binding Co., 1972.

[6] V. J. W. Guo and C. Krattenthaler, *Some divisibility properties of binomial and q-binomial coefficients*, J. Number Theory 135 (2014), 167-184.

[7] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[8] Z.-W. Sun, *On divisibility of binomial coefficients*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 93 (2012), 189-201.

E-mail address: melbachraoui@uaeu.ac.ae